

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/247,219	02/10/1999	PEGGY M. TOMASULA	862.004US1	7387
25712	7590 03/19/2002			
000	ARS-OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	EXAM	INER	
1815 N. UNIV	ERSITY STREET	AL UTILIZATION RESEARCH	CORBIN, A	RTHUR L
PEORIA, IL	61604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	20
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MF-20

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	09/24,219	Group Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner (Group Art Unit	
	ARTURA L-C	ORBIN 1761	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address—	
Period for Reply	2		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DAT	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied I NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	-		
This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.			
Disposition of Claims			
Syclaim(s) 2,4-6, 9,11-13, 15-19	·	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	•	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s) 2,4-6,9,11-13,15-19		is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)			
Application Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un 	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	-(d).	
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the: 		–(d).	
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recommended. 	ceived.		
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recommondered □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recommondered 	eived. eived in Application No		
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recommodified copies of the priority documents have been recommodified copies of the priority documents have been recommodified. 	ceived. ceived in Application No have been received	0,	
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International Expension 	ceived. ceived in Application No have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(o (a))	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International E*Certified copies not received:	ceived. ceived in Application No have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(o (a))	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International E*Certified copies not received:	ceived. ceived in Application No have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(o (a))	
□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rec □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International E *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO–1449, Paper No(s)	ceived. ceived in Application Nothave been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2((a)) terview Summary, PTO-413	
□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International E *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	ceived. ceived in Application Nothave been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(o (a))	

Application/Control Number: 09/247,219

Art Unit: 1761

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on January 2, 2002, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2, 4-6, 9, 11-13 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomosula in view of Dahlstrom et al.

Tomasula is described in detail in paragraph no. 2, paper no. 5. Additionally, Tomasula discloses operating pressures of 400-800 (col. 4, line 28) and operating temperatures of 35 to 60°C (col. 3, lines 34-36). Tomasula also indicates that a solution of 4.3 to 5.3 is optimal for precipitating casein milk. Lastly, and of greatest

Application/Control Number: 09/247,219

Art Unit: 1761

significance, is the disclosure in Tomasula that the process described therein is applicable to precipitate proteins which are known to precipitate in acidic media (col. 4, lines 29-38). It would have been obvious to substitute soy milk for part or all of the milk starting material in Tomasula since it is known to precipitate or coagulate protein from dairy milk or soy milk or a mixture thereof by coagulating the milk with a food grade acid, e.g. carbonic acid (col.3, lines 23-35 and 49-55 and col. 8, lines 39-45) and since Tomasula's, process can be used to precipitate proteins which are known to precipitate in acid. Thus, a soy protein product will result when soy milk is treated in accordance with Tomasula 's process. Finding the optimum initial final protein concentrations and the optimum holding time would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art. However, protein concentration of precipitated protein is naturally greater than protein concentration of a solution containing a protein source since the protein precipitate is mostly free of water.

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 4-6, 9, 11-13 and 15-19 submitted January 2, 2002 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/247,219

Art Unit: 1761

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3850. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday 10:00 am to 7:30 pm., and alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311\$ for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Examiner Corbin/ng March 19, 2002 ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

3-19,02